

Message

From: Joesph Cotruvo [joseph.cotruvo@] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: 6/23/2017 7:17:27 PM
To: Donohue, Joyce [Donohue.Joyce@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: JAWWA

Joyce,

That would be good if you are allowed. It is also a good way for EPA to get some visibility on those up and coming chemicals. Easier than the usual peer review process. They want to publish in April JAWWA.

I also am not thrilled with the PFAS term.

Joe

On 6/23/2017 3:03 PM, Donohue, Joyce wrote:
> Dear Joe:

>
> The PFAS term came into use very near to the time when we released the HAS. I am not particularly fond of it because it is too like PFOS and being a fast reader, I sometimes misread. I like PFCs better. I had sent a not to Jamie about your request. WE have gone further than PFOA and PFOS so I have information on the acid and sulfonate families and GenX but not the entire world of PFAS.

>
> Joyce

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Joesph Cotruvo [mailto:joseph.cotruvo@] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
> Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 10:51 AM
> To: Donohue, Joyce <Donohue.Joyce@epa.gov>
> Subject: JAWWA

>
> Joyce,

>
> Andy and I spoke yesterday. He prefers to not do PFOA/PFOS but PFAS instead. So that would be broader and includes GenX, I think. I had not seen the PFAS term previously.

>
> Joe

>
>
>

--
Joseph Cotruvo